



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,303		04/27/2001	Sharon Barkai	Sheer 4	1201
24505	7590	05/17/2005		EXAMINER	
DANIEL J SWIRSKY PO BOX 2345			CHANKONG, DOHM		
BEIT SHEMESH, 99544		, 99544		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ISRAEL	ISRAEL			2152	
				DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	09/844,303	BARKAI ET AL.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Dohm Chankong  ears on the cover sheet with the c	2152 correspondence address						
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Fe	ebruary 2005.							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	•							
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 18-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 18-20 is/are rejected.</li> </ul>								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the l	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
	•							
Attachment(s)	<del>[]</del>							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:							
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office								

4

Application/Control Number: 09/844,303 Art Unit: 2152

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment and remarks. Claims 10-12, 15-17 and 21-25 have been withdrawn. Claims 13 and 14 have been cancelled. Claims 1-9 and 18-20 are presented for examination. This action is a final rejection.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 18-20 have been carefully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by Applicant's amendment to the independent claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-6 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fehskens et al, U.S Patent No. 5.832.224, in view of Zager et al, U.S Patent Publication No. 2002 0022952 ["Zager"].

As to claim 1, Fehskens discloses a network management unit for managing a network that includes a plurality of network elements coupled by communication links [abstract], the management unit comprising:

a warehouse module, the warehouse module operatively coupled to at least one network element, the warehouse module adapted to interact with the network element to facilitate data retrieval and network element operation control [abstract | column 3 <lines 52-67>];

an agents module, the agents module modeling functional operation of at least one network element that is in communication with the management unit, the agents module operatively coupled to the warehouse module to facilitate communication with the associated network element [column 14 lines 10-44 and lines 64-66» | column 15 «lines 10-38» where: Fehskens' entity model represents an network entity]; and

a presentation module, the presentation module facilitating local implementation of task requests from external management applications, the presentation module communicating with the agents module to transmit service requests to the agents module in accordance with the task requests [column 8 8 8 9

Fehskens discloses transmitting commands to said warehouse module to facilitate said service request [column 10 «lines 60-67» | column 15 «lines 49-61»] but does not disclose an

Art Unit: 2152

agents module that is adapted to determine how said modeled element would react given a service request and the state of operation of said element.

- In the same field of invention, network management, Zager discloses a similar agents system to Fehskens that models certain network elements [0092]. Zager further discloses an agents module that is adapted to determine how said modeled element would react given a service request and the state of operation of said element [0035 | 0071 | 0072 | 0175 | 0244]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated Zager's agent and model functionality into Fehskens' agents and modeling system. One would have been motivated to perform such an implementation to provide into Fehskens' system to allow users to simulate a network element and determine the consequences of requests on elements within the network [see Zager, 0077].
- As to claim 2, Fehskens discloses the management unit of claim 1, further comprising an application module, the application module adapted to facilitate the transmission of task requests from external management applications to the management unit [column 3 < lines 30-36 > | column 8 < lines 12-30 > where: the presentation module is equivalent in functionality to the claimed application mod and the presentation device is comparable to an external management app].
- 7> As to claim 3, Fehskens discloses the management unit of claim 2, wherein the application module comprises:

a session manager to initiate and control a session with the presentation module [column 7 <lines 49-52> | column 8 <lines 5-12> where: functional modules are equivalent to the claimed presentation module];

an authentication manager to facilitate security clearance with the presentation module [column 28 <lines 21-27> | column 29 <lines 21-24 and 54-59>]; and

a plurality of available service routines corresponding to services available from the presentation module [column 8 <lines 5-41>].

- As to claim 4, Fehskens discloses the management unit of claim 2, further comprising a shell interface for facilitating communication between the application module and external management applications [column 3 < lines 26-42> | column 8 < lines 20-30>].
- 9> As to claim 5, Fehskens discloses the management unit of claim 5, wherein the agents module comprises:

an investigation component, the investigation component adapted to initiate and configure device components for the agents module [column 9 <line 66> to column 10 <line 12>];

a plurality of device components, each device component modeling at least one network element function <column 17 <li>lines 16-52> where: the management module is equivalent to the agents module and the definitions for the global and subordinate entities is equivalent to the claimed device components];

a configuration component, the configuration component adapted to facilitate the

command execution by device component operations in response to receiving commands from the presentation module [column 9 <line 63> to column 10 <line 12>]; and

a network element translator, the network element translator facilitating the communication between device components and the warehouse module so as to facilitate data translation between the device components and the warehouse module [column 3 column 10 colum

As to claim 6, Fehskens discloses the management unit of claim 1, wherein the warehouse module comprises a registry and a plurality of collector modules [column 3 < lines 52-60> | column 4 < lines 12-30> where: the management-related commands is equivalent to collector modules and the data recorder is equivalent to a registry].

As to claim 18, Fehskens discloses a method for facilitating the execution of a task, which requires end-to-end knowledge of a network, comprising:

modeling parts of the network by individual modeling components, the modeling is of at least the relationships between physical and logical functionalities and the operation of functionalities of network elements [column 14 < lines 10-44 and lines 64-66» | column 15 < lines 10-39>].

transmitting commands from the modeling components to an associated network element to control the operation of the functionality [column 2 <lines 29-41> | column 10 <lines 60-67> | column 14 <lines 30-48>]; and

transmitting a plurality of messages between said modeling components to facilitate a portion of the task in each component, whereby each component communicates with associated functionality if the functionality is part of the required task, the message directed in accordance with the relationships provided by the modeling components [column 2 column 5 column 5 column 7 <lines 35-55> and <line 64> to column 8 <line 3> |

Fehskens does not explicitly disclose determining how any of said network elements would react given a service request and the state of operation of said element.

- In the same field of invention, network management, Zager discloses a similar agents system to Fehskens that models certain network elements [0092]. Zager further discloses an agents module that is adapted to determine how said modeled element would react given a service request and the state of operation of said element [0035 | 0071 | 0072 | 0175 | 0244]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated Zager's agent and model functionality into Fehskens' agents and modeling system. One would have been motivated to perform such an implementation to provide into Fehskens' system to allow users to simulate a network element and determine the consequences of requests on elements within the network [see Zager, 0077].
- As to claim 19, Fehskens discloses the method of claim 18 wherein the task is an information query [column 2 <lines 29-32>].

Art Unit: 2152

As to claim 20, Fehskens discloses the method of claim 18, wherein the task is a provisioning operation [column 2 <lines 55-67>].

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- of Godwin et al, U.S Patent No. 6.058.426 ["Godwin"].
- As to claim 7, Fehskens discloses the management unit of claim 1, wherein the warehouse module comprises:
- a database translator to facilitate the storage and retrieval of network data and management unit configuration data [column 3 <lines 52-67> | column 4 <lines 26-30> where: information manager is equivalent to the claimed database translator];
- a directory service translator to facilitate the resolution of addresses for network elements and external systems [column 5 <lines 2-15> | column 6 <lines 10-19>];
- a registry to facilitate the registration of the agents module for network element data [column 4 <lines 12-30>]; and
  - a plurality of collectors to communicate with network elements [column 3 <lines 52-

Art Unit: 2152

60>].

Fehskens does not disclose a message queue to facilitate the transmission of messages between management unit modules residing in remote management units.

- Godwin discloses a message queue to facilitate the transmission of messages between management unit modules residing in remote management units. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement queues to store the requests transmitted between management unit modules to store requests that are pending later action [column 7 lines 38-41>]. One would have been motivated to do this implementation because Fehskens discloses checking requests to see if they should be acted upon immediately or at a later time [(Fehskens) column 10 lines 23-28> | column 12 lines 2-10>].
- Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fehskens and Godwin, in further view of Stilwell et al, U.S Patent No. 5.907.696 ["Stilwell"].
- As to claim 8, Fehskens does disclose the use of a plurality of collectors [column 3 lines 52-60>] but does not specifically disclose that the plurality of collectors comprises an SNMP collector.
- Stilwell teaches it is well known in the art to implement an SNMP collector in a network management unit to allow the unit to handle management of devices on a computer network [column 1 <lines 13-28>]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time the invention was made to implement one of Fehsken's plurality of collectors as an SNMP collector to increase the utility of the management unit by allowing it to manage devices on a computer network.

- Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fehskens and Godwin, in further view of Packer, U.S Patent No. 6.018.516.
- As to claim 9, Fehskens does disclose the use of a plurality of collectors [column 3 lines 52-60>] but does not specifically disclose that the plurality of collectors comprises a Telnet collector.
- Packer teaches it is well known in the art to implement Telnet over a network for providing standard remote terminal connection [column 6 6 9 ]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement one of Fehsken's collectors as a Telnet collector to allow the collector to act as a terminal emulator and communicate with network elements over TCP.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dohm Chankong whose telephone number is (571)272-3942. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (571)272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dung C. Dinh Primary Examiner